DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

RCRA Corrective Action Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS Code (CA725)

Current Human Exposures Under Control

Facility Name:

Grand Forks Air Force Base

Facility Address:

319 CES/CEV, 525 Six Avenue, Grand Forks AFB, ND 58205-6434

Facility EPA ID #:

ND3571924759

1.	Has all available relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to soil, groundwater, surface water/sediments, and air, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste Management Units (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been considered in this EI determination?					
	XX.	If yes, check here and continue with #2 below.				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	If no, re-evaluate existing data, or				
		If data are not available, skip to #6 and enter "IN" (more information needed) status code.				

BACKGROUND

Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for nonhuman (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

Definition of "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI

A positive "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that there are no "unacceptable" human exposures to "contamination" (i.e., contaminants in concentrations in excess of appropriate risk-based levels) that can be reasonably expected under current land- and groundwater-use conditions (for all "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program, the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) of 1993. The "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI are for reasonably expected human exposures under current land- and groundwater-use conditions ONLY, and do not consider potential future land- or groundwater-use conditions or ecological receptors. The RCRA Corrective Action program's overall mission to protect human health and the environment requires that final remedies address these issues (i.e., potential future human exposure scenarios, future land and groundwater uses, and ecological receptors).

Duration/Applicability of EI Determinations

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

	Are groundwater, soil, surface water, sediments, or air media known or reasonably suspected to be "contaminated" above appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action (from SWMUs, RUs or AOCs)?
--	--

		Yes	<u>No</u>	?	Rationale/Key Contaminants		
Groundwater		erarmro0e	X				
Air (indoors) ²			X				
Surface Soil (e.g., <2	ft)		<u>X</u>	*************			
Surface Water	,		X	************			
Sediment			X				
Subsurf. Soil (e.g., >	2 ft)		X				
Air (outdoors)	/		X	**************************************			
	If no (for all media), skip to #6 and enter "YE" status code after providing or citing appropriate "levels" and referencing sufficient supporting documentation demonstrating that these "levels" are not exceeded.						
and applied a second	If yes (for any media), continue after identifying key contaminants in each "contaminated" medium, citing appropriate "levels" (or provide an explanation for the determination that the medium could pose an unacceptable risk) and referencing supporting documentation.						
спиратурального	If unkn	own (for	any med	ia), skip	to #6 and enter "IN" status code.		

Rationale and Reference(s): Since submission of the initial EI determination (1999), additional investigations and corrective action have been performed on those sites which had insufficient information for a human exposure determination. Currently, all sites, through stabilization/remediation measures have resulted in contaminant concentrations below their respective action levels or there are no unacceptable risks to human health. The following documents have provided assessment, investigation, study, and corrective measure documentation concerning contaminants of concern in all media.

Phase I RFA, 1990; Phase II RFA, 1992; Phase I RFI, 1997; RFI, 2001; Phase II RFI, 2001; RFI, 2000; CMI, 2001; RFI, 1999.

Footnotes:

"Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (for the media, that identify risks within the acceptable risk range).

² Recent evidence (from the Colorado Dept. of Public Health and Environment, and others) suggest that unacceptable indoor air concentrations are more common in structures above groundwater with volatile contaminants than previously believed. This is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration necessary to be reasonably certain that indoor air (in structures located above (and adjacent to) groundwater with volatile contaminants) does not present unacceptable risks.

Page 3

Are there complete pathways between "contamination" and human receptors such that exposures can be reasonably expected under the current (land- and groundwater-use) conditions?

C	9***	n1	Y2 .		on the
Summary	Exposure	Pathway	Eva	luation	Lable

:66° 4 \$ 4 - 3	99 78 AF3.5 _	n: 44-	XX7t	D C	C t	T	D	. n 13
"Contaminated Groundwater	'' VIEGIA	Kesidents	workers	Day-Care	Construction	Trespassers	Recreatio	n Pood
Air (indoors)								
Soil (surface, e.	g., <2 ft)							
Surface Water			***************************************					
Sediment		·						
Soil (subsurface Air (outdoors)	e.g., >2 ft)							_,
An (onidoors)		***************************************		~~~		4-1		
Instructions for	Summary Exp	osure Pathw	ay Evalua	tion Table:				
	pecific media n #2 above.	including H	uman Rec	eptors' spa	ces for medi	a which are n	ot "contam	inated") as
	" or "no" for p on (Pathway).	ootential "co	mpletenes	s" under ea	ch "Contam	inated" media	a Human	Receptor
Note: In order t Media - Human combinations madded as necessa	Receptor com ay not be prob	binations (P	athways)	do not have	check space	es (""). W	hile these	
man santrigens en grandense	If no (pathwa #6, and enter whether natur contaminated pathways).	"YE" status	code afte ade, preve	r explaining enting a cor	g and/or refe nplete expos	rencing condi ure pathway	ition(s) in p from each	lace,
	If yes (pathw combination)	-	•	-			Receptor	
engemontation of states	If unknown (enter "IN" sta		ntaminated	l" Media - I	Human Rece	ptor combina	tion), skip	to #6 and
Rationale and R	eference(s):							

³ Indirect Pathway/Receptor (e.g., vegetables, fruits, crops, meat and dairy products, fish, shellfish, etc.)

4.	Can the exposures from any of the complete pathways identified in #3 be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable" because exposures can be reasonably expected to be greater in magnitude (intensity, frequency and/or duration) than assumed in the derivation of the acceptable "levels" (used to identify the "contamination") or the combination of exposure magnitude (perhaps even though low) and contaminant concentrations (which may be substantially above the acceptable "levels") could result in greater than acceptable risks)?					
		If no (exposures can not be reasonably expected to be significant (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway), skip to #6 and enter "YE" status code after explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."				
		If yes (exposures could be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway), continue after providing a description (of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure pathway) and explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the remaining complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."				
	manner promasemuse vid	If unknown (for any complete pathway), skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code.				
	Rationale and	Reference(s)				

⁴ If there is any question on whether the identified exposures are "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") consult a Human Health Risk Assessment specialist with appropriate education, training, and experience.

stephen type amende mide regions	If yes (all "significant" exposures have been shown to be within acceptable limits), continuand enter "YE" after summarizing and referencing documentation justifying why all "significant" exposures to "contamination" are within acceptable limits (e.g., a site-specific Human Health Risk Assessment).
	If no (there are current exposures that can be reasonably expected to be "unacceptable"), continue and enter "NO" status code after providing a description of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure.
se	If unknown (for any potentially "unacceptable" exposure), continue and enter "IN" status code.
Rationale an	d Reference(s):
Rationale an	d Reference(s):
Rationale an	
Rationale an	id Reference(s):
Rationale an	
Rationale an	

5 .	(CA725), and c	neck the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Current Human Exposures Under Control EI event code (A725), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI determination below and attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility):						
	X	YE - Yes, "Current Human Exposures Under Control" has been verified. Based on a review of the information contained in this EI Determination, "Current Human Exposures" are expected to be "Under Control" at the Grand Forks Air Force Base facility, EPA ID # ND3571924759, located at Grand Forks , ND under current and reasonably expected conditions. This determination will be re-evaluated when the Agency/State becomes aware of significant changes at the facility.						
		NO - "Current Human Exposures" are NOT "Under Control."						
	emonto-control	IN - More information is needed to make a determination.						
	Completed by	(signature) Date 18.5.2001 (print) Robert Disney (title) Environmental Scientist						
	Supervisor	(signature) (print) Curtis L. Erickson (title) Manager, Hazardous Waste Program (EPA Region or State) North Dakota						
	Locations when	re References may be found:						
	1200 Mis	s where References may be found: North Dakota Division of Waste Management, souri Avenue, Room 302, Bismarck, ND 58504 and 319 CES/CEV, 525 Six Avenue, orks Air Force Base, ND 58205-6434						
	Contact telepho	one and e-mail data:						
	(name) Robert Disney (phone #) 701.328.5166 (e-mail) rdisney@state.nd.us							

FINAL NOTE: THE HUMAN EXPOSURES EI IS A QUALITATIVE SCREENING OF EXPOSURES AND THE DETERMINATIONS WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD NOT BE USED AS THE SOLE BASIS FOR RESTRICTING THE SCOPE OF MORE DETAILED (E.G., SITE-SPECIFIC) ASSESSMENTS OF RISK.